UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,871	10/699,871 11/04/2003 Todd C. Werden		YOR920030558 (00280760AA)	5120		
	7590 01/08/200 URTIS & CHRISTOFI	EXAMINER				
11491 SUNSET	HILLS ROAD	HAIDER, FAWAAD				
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 2	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3627			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			01/08/2008	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/699,871		WERDEN, TODD C.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			FAWAAD H		3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum signer to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS  6(a). In no event  Il apply and will e cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 Oc	tober 2007					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	2b)⊠ This a		n-final				
3)		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ioo ariaor Ex	· parco Qua	7, 1000 O.B. 11, 10	0.0.2.0.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election red	uirement.				
			•					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/4/2003.	PTO-948)	_	)	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/699,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz et al (6,243,447) in view of Rafii et al (6,614,422).

Re Claim 1: Swartz discloses a remote activator device carried by a sales associate (see col.6, lines 5-9, 20); a magnetic stripe reader for reading a credit or debit card to complete transaction in the displayed POS application (see col.2, lines 24-25 and col.10, line 51); and a scanner used by the sales associate for scanning merchandise codes to enter purchases in the displayed POS application (see col.1, lines 34-35 and col.5, lines 23-27). However, Swartz does not disclose a positioning system and an integrated projector and camera assembly.

Meanwhile, Rafii discloses a positioning system which recognizes a signal from the remote activator device and determines where and how to find an appropriate blank surface near the sales associate's actual location (see Abstract, col.2, line 44, col.4, lines 60-61, col.8, line 66); and an integrated projector and camera assembly responsive to the positioning system and which rotates to a correct position and

displays a POS application on a blank surface near the location of the sales associate. the camera detecting entries in the POS application by the sales associate as interactions with the displayed POS application (see col.2, lines 32-64, col.4, line 27, col.10, line 41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Swartz's invention with Rafii's use of a positioning system and projector/camera assembly in order to "display an image of a keyboard, including an image of a keyboard showing user fingers, and/or alphanumeric text as such data is input by the user on the virtual input device (See Abstract)."

Page 3

Re Claim 2: Swartz discloses wherein said remote activator device, said scanner and said magnetic stripe reader are each part of an integrated device carried by the sales associate (see Figure 10).

Re Claim 3: Swartz discloses wherein in the integrated device further includes a printer for printing a sales receipt (see col.2, line 1).

Re Claim 4: Swartz discloses wherein the signal from the remote activator is a wireless signal conforming to a standardized protocol (see col.6, lines 5-7 and lines 20-21).

Re Claim 5: Swartz does not disclose the following limitation. Rafii discloses wherein the positioning system uses a positioning algorithm to determine where and how to find a blank surface near the sales associate's actual location (see col.18, line 64). It would have been obvious to modify Swartz's invention with Rafii's disclosure of an algorithm in order to "recognize what virtual keys are being typed upon by a user of the present invention (see col.18, lines 64-65)."

Re Claim 6: Swartz does not disclose the following limitation. Rafii discloses wherein the remote activator device transmits location information to the positioning system for use in determining where and how to find a blank surface near the sales associate's actual location (see col.8, line 66). It would have been obvious to modify Swartz's invention with Rafii's disclosure of an algorithm in order to "recognize what virtual keys are being typed upon by a user of the present invention (see col.18, lines 64-65)."

Re Claim 7: Swartz discloses further comprising a back office computer connected to receive entries in the POS application (see Figure 3).

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fawaad Haider whose telephone number is 571-272-7178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,871 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627 Fawaad Haider Examiner Art Unit 3627

FIH